



## **CALL FOR PAPERS AND PRELIMINARY PROGRAMME**

### **Spatial Strategies at the Land-Sea Interface: Rethinking Maritime Spatial Planning**

**11-13<sup>th</sup> September 2019, University of Hamburg, Institute for Geography**

**SUBMISSION DEADLINE: 15<sup>th</sup> July 2019**

#### **Objectives:**

The workshop seeks to:

- Create a space for critical reflection on role of spatial strategies in the governance of land-sea interactions;
- Foster interdisciplinary dialogue among spatial planning, MSP, and interdisciplinary governance researchers concerned with the land-sea interface;
- Highlight the analytical and policy relevance of the spatialities underlying practices of MSP and spatial planning at the land-sea interface

#### **Research and Policy Context:**

Under the EU Maritime Spatial Planning (MSP) Directive adopted in 2014, Member States are tasked with the preparation of maritime spatial plans by 2021. These plans are required to ‘take into account land-sea interactions’ and ‘should aim to integrate the maritime dimension of some coastal uses or activities and their impacts’ (EU 2014, 138). Accordingly, inshore territorial waters must be included within either marine spatial plans or land-based spatial plans where they extend beyond the coastline (EU 2014, 140, Article 2:1). Contemporary and future challenges of climate change adaptation, coastal erosion and sea-level rise underline the need for visionary and inclusive spatial strategies at the coast (O’ Riordan et al 2014, Walsh 2019).

Experience to date, however, indicates that MSP occupies a different institutional and policy space to land-based terrestrial spatial planning. MSP has emerged within a marine management context and for the most part constitutes its own epistemic community, at a distance from current developments and debates in spatial planning research and practice on land (Jay 2010, Kidd & Ellis 2012). And although MSP is becoming established as a formal policy instrument applied in a coordinated manner across Europe, European terrestrial spatial planning has reached an impasse, with a discernible shift away from ambitious spatial strategies at national and regional scales (Salet 2016). Furthermore, as policies and

practices of integrated coastal zone management are displaced through a focus of attention on MSP, there is a risk of a *'new coastal squeeze'* where the land and marine become institutionalised as distinct policy spaces (Shipman & Stojanovic 2007, 389, Walsh & Kannen 2019).

There is, however, increased recognition of the contested context of competing interests, perceptions, values and worldviews within which MSP is practiced (Ritchie & Ellis, 2010, Flannery et al 2018). Kidd and Shaw (2014), in particular, critique the dominant instrumental view of MSP as a rational, technical process of universal applicability, contending that marine spatial planning is 'a social and political process that is inevitably highly differentiated and place-specific' (2014, 1536). Jay (2012, 2013) has more specifically critiqued the spatialities underlying current MSP practices, calling for relational perspectives in place of functional zoning. More recently, he has explored the potential for more progressive MSP practices inspired by theoretical work on soft spaces and the lively materiality of marine space (Jay 2018). Critical perspectives by Smith & Brennan (2012) and Bode (2015) have meanwhile challenged dominant ways of representing space in MSP whereas recent contributions from cultural geography and spatial planning have critically examined the construction of place, space and landscape in contemporary practices of coastal planning and management (Walsh & Döring 2018). Working more closely within the MSP policy framework, Gee (2010) and Gee et al (2017) have introduced the concepts of seascape values and culturally significant areas in an effort to focus attention on place-based cultural meanings and values within MSP. Most recently, Trouillet et al (2019) have produced experimental alternative mappings for MSP based on spatial data generated by fishers and fishery organisations.

It is against this background that this workshop will explore and critically reflect on the capacity for MSP and spatial planning more broadly to address the challenges posed by the sustainable governance of the land-sea interface. Rather than seeking to provide evidence to support planning processes we hope improve understanding of such processes and to foster a more critical, reflexive dialogue between research and practice. In particular, we seek to focus on the spatial dimensions of MSP and spatial planning at the coast, and their articulations in practice. Key topics for discussion and reflection include the capacity of MSP to work with relational connections across space and the potential to engage with place-based knowledges and multiple ways of knowing the sea. The workshop will include a mix of keynote presentations, panel discussions with practitioners, interactive break-out sessions and a limited number of research papers solicited through this open call for papers. In particular we are interested in theoretically informed contributions which address one or more of the following aspects:

- Current practices in spatial strategy-making at the land-sea interface
- Alternative spatialities in MSP: e.g. working with relational space, soft spaces, peopled seascapes
- Integrated spatial strategies at the land-sea interface: current challenges and transformative potential

## **PAPER SUBMISSION AND REGISTRATION**

To contribute a paper please submit a proposed title and an abstract of max. 400 words to Dr. Cormac Walsh at [cormac.walsh@uni-hamburg.de](mailto:cormac.walsh@uni-hamburg.de) by **15.07.2019**. We intend to publish a selection of papers from this work in the form of a journal special issue. Further details will be provided at a later date.

To register for this workshop (required should you wish to present a paper or not), please email Sarah Topfstädt at [sarah.topfstaedt@avinus.de](mailto:sarah.topfstaedt@avinus.de) with your name, affiliation and any special dietary requirements. The deadline for registration is **15.07.2019**. Please note that places are limited and

preference may be given to those who are actively engaged with the specific topic of the workshop in their own research / practice. There is **no registration fee**. We may be in a position to subsidise travel costs for early career researchers who will present a paper and do not have access travel funding. Do let us know if this applies to you.

## **Preliminary Programme**

*Day 1: Wednesday afternoon (11.09)*

14:00 Introduction

14:30 Keynote 1: Prof. Simin Davoudi (Newcastle University) – Spatial Imaginaries at the Coast

16:00 Papers (*4x30 mins, plus 30 mins*) *MSP in practice: current approaches to governance of land-sea interactions*

18:30 Dinner

*Day 2: Thursday (12.09)*

09:30: Keynote 2: Spatial Strategies at the Wadden Sea coast: Current Practices and Future Opportunities (C. Walsh, Hamburg University)

10:15. Panel Discussion: Spatial Strategies beyond Boundaries: Innovative Approaches at the Dutch North Sea Coast

Loedwijk Abspoel (Dutch MSP Senior Policy Officer, North Sea 2050 Spatial Agenda)

Eric-Jan Pleijster, LOLA Landscape Architects, Rotterdam (2200 Plan B Spatial Vision)

11:00 Parallel interactive breakout sessions

- Re-imagining marine spatial planning
- Thinking space relationally at the land-sea interface
- Cultural values and place-based knowledges at the coast and at sea

13:00 Lunch

14:00: Plenary Discussion of workshop outcomes

15:30: Papers (4 x 30 mins plus 30 mins) *Spatialities of MSP / critical perspectives*

18:00: Dinner

*Day 3: Friday (13.09)*

09:15: Keynote 3: Claudia Bode (Architect, THING Research Collective): Marine Reterritorialization at the North Sea

10:15: Podium Discussion: Spatial Strategy-Making at the Coast of Scotland

Dr. Glen Smyth – Clyde Fisherman's Association

James Green (Orkney Islands Council)

Shona Turnbull (Orkney Islands Council)

11:00: Papers (3x 30 mins plus 30 mins) *Doing MSP differently / future perspectives*

13:00: Lunch

14:00 Final Discussion: Conclusions and next steps

15:00 Close of workshop

## References:

- Bode, C. (2015). Energy Extraction from Wind: Marine Re-territorialization in the North Sea. *Scenario Journal*, 05, <https://scenariojournal.com/journal/scenario-05-extraction/>.
- EU - European Parliament and Council of the European Union (2014). Directive 2014/89/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 July 2014 establishing a framework for maritime spatial planning. *Official Journal of the European Union*, L257, 135-145.
- Flannery, W.; Healey, N.; Luna, M. (2018). Exclusion and non-participation in Marine Spatial Planning. *Marine Policy*, 88, 32-40.
- Gee, K. (2010). Offshore wind power development as affected by seascape values on the German North Sea coast. *Land Use Policy*, 27, 2, 185-194.
- Gee, K.; Kannen, A.; Adlam, R.; Brooks, C.; Chapman, M.; Cormier, R.; Fischer, C.; Fletcher, S.; Gubbins, M.; Shucksmith, R.; Shellock, R. (2017). Identifying culturally significant areas for marine spatial planning. *Ocean and Coastal Management*, 136, 1, 139-147.
- Jay S. (2010). Built at sea: Marine management and the construction of marine spatial planning. *Town Planning Review*, 81, 2, 173-192.
- Jay, S. (2013). From disunited sectors to disjointed segments? Questioning the functional zoning of the sea. *Planning Theory & Practice*, 14, 3, 509-525.
- Jay, S. (2012). Marine Space: Maneuvering Towards a Relational Understanding. *Journal of Environmental Policy & Planning*, 14, 1, 81-96.
- Jay, S. (2018). The shifting sea: from soft space to lively space. *Environmental Policy and Planning*. doi:10.1080/1523908X.2018.1437716.
- Kidd, S.; Ellis, G. (2012). From the Land to Sea and Back Again? Using Terrestrial Planning to Understand the Process of Marine Spatial Planning. *Journal of Environmental Policy & Planning*, 14, 1, 49-66.
- Kidd, S.; Shaw, D. (2014). The social and political realities of marine spatial planning: some land-based reflections. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 71, 7, 1535-1541.
- O' Riordan, T., Gomes, C., Schmidt, L., (2014). The difficulties of designing future coastlines in the face of climate change. *Landscape Research*, 39 (6), 613-630.
- Salet, W. (2016). Reinventing strategic spatial planning: a critical act of reconstruction. Albrechts, L. Balducci, A. & Hillier, J. (eds). *Situated Practices of Strategic Planning: An International Perspective*, London: Routledge. 373-386.
- Shipman, B. and Stojanovic, T. (2007). Facts, Fictions, and Failures of Integrated Coastal Zone Management in Europe. *Coastal Management*, 35, 375-398.
- Smith, G. & Brennan, R. E. (2012). Losing Our Way with Mapping: Thinking Critically About Marine Spatial Planning in Scotland. *Ocean and Coastal Management*, 69, 210-216.
- Trouillet B., Bellanger-Husi L., El Ghaziri A., Lamberts C., Plissonneau E., Rollo N., (2019). More than maps: Providing an alternative for fisheries and fishers in marine spatial planning. *Ocean and Coastal Management*, 173, 90-103.
- Walsh, C. (2019). Integration of Expertise or Collaborative Practice? Coastal Management and Climate Adaptation at the Wadden Sea. *Ocean and Coastal Management*, 167, 78-86.
- Walsh, C. & Döring, M. (eds) (2018). *Cultural Geographies of Coastal Change*, Area, 50, (2), 146-204. (Special Section).
- Walsh, C. & Kannen, A. (2019). Planning at Sea: Shifting Planning Practices at the German North Sea Coast. *Raumforschung und Raumordnung, Spatial Research and Planning*, 77, (1), 1-18.